REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions					OMB No. 0704-0188
maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or am including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Opera Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOYE					er aspect of this collection of information, s and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a
1. REPORT DATE (2. REPORT TYPE Technical Paper			. DATES COVERED (From - To)
4. TITLE AND SUB	TITLE	_i		5	a. CONTRACT NUMBER CALLET A STATE OF THE ST
				<u></u> 5	b. GRANT NUMBER
				5	c. PROGFIAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)					d. PROJECT NUMBER
		ř			4373 e. TASK NUMBER
					E. TASK NUMBER CCS(:
					f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					PERFORMING ORGANIZATION EPORT
			•		
Thickol					
·	inioreo i				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					O. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S CRONYM(S)
Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC)					
AFRL/PRS					1. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S
5 Pollux Drive					NUMBER(S)
Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048					
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
Approved for pub	lic release; distributi	on unlimited.			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
13. SUFFLEMENTA	RAT NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
ኃበበኃለኃለኃ ለለን					
20021212 103					
15. SUBJECT TERM	IS				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMB					
			OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	PERSON Lailani Diahardaan
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Leilani Richardson 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	A		(661) 275-5015

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18



MEMORANDUM FOR PRS (Contractor Publication)

FROM: PROI (STINFO)

43736556

14 Feb 2001

SUBJECT: Authorization for Release of Technical Information, Control Number: **AFRL-PR-ED-AB-2001-039** R.A. Ahmad, B.A. Laubacher (Thiokol), "Evaluating Solid Boost Demonstrator Motor Specific Impulse Performance" (Abstract)

AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference
(8-11 Jul 2001, Salt Lake City, UT) (Deadline: ?)

(Statement A)

Evaluating Solid Boost Demonstrator Motor Specific Impulse Performance

Rashid A. Ahmad and Brian Laubacher

Motor Performance Department, Gas Dynamics Section, M/S 252

Science and Engineering

Thiokol Propulsion, A Division of Cordant Technologies, Inc., Brigham City, Utah 84302

Integrated

The High Payoff Rocket Propulsion Technology (IHPRPT) phase 1 Solid Boost Demonstrator (Boost Demo) motor was fabricated as the Phase 1 Demonstration of technologies from the (IHPRPT) program. This motor incorporates new technologies in an attempt to increase delivered performance compared with the IHPRPT Baseline motor. The IHPRPT Baseline motor and Boost Demo nozzle motor aft and nozzle contours are compared in Fig.1.

While the Boost Demo has a de-submerged nozzle, it contains an "eyebrow" feature designed to reduce the amount of slag trapped/observed in the nozzle split line region of the SICBM motor. It contains a circumferential cavity upstream of the nozzle throat. Slag entrapment may jeopardize the motor if it solidifies and inhibits nozzle vectoring.

Two-dimensional axisymmetric steady-state computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations have shown the following. First, flow separation and re-attachment upstream and downstream of the cavity opening, respectively. Second, vortices developed in the cavity. Third, small size alumina particles (10 microns in diameter) have followed the gas streamlines without entrapment in the cavity. Larger size, of 100 microns in diameter, also followed the gas streamlines with channeling effect; i.e. along and in the vicinity of the centerline.

Calculations have been identified to assess the discrepancies between measured and predicted I_{sp} losses in the Boost Demo motor test on November 16, 2000. Three geometries will be considered initially: The IHPRPT baseline motor with a submerged nozzle, and Boost Demo with and without the eyebrow feature. These three cases will allow comparison of the eyebrow, submergence and de-submergence effects. The eyebrow may affect performance as follows. First, vortices develop in the cavity that would enlarge as the cavity insulation erodes. Second, flow separation and re-attachment upstream and downstream of the cavity opening would disturb the flow field. Third, vortex shedding could also be unsteady; starting at the cavity lip and impinging upstream of the nozzle throat. Furthermore, circumferential flow may develop when the nozzle is vectored.

The effect of nozzle exit cone erosion on lsp will be also analyzed. Then profile of the eroded nozzle exit cone was obtained from hot firing test.

Two burn times will be analyzed for each geometry: initial and the late in burn after the aft-end propellant has burned-out. An eroded nozzle will be analyzed. Additional characterization of the flow/particle impingement and slag accretion environments will be conducted to assess additional lsp loss factors.

You're using further to see the see when the see the pen destrains the pen destrains the see t

particles,

© 2001 Thiokol Propulsion, A Division of Cordant Technologies, Inc., Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission.

Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited




Fig. 1 IHPRPT Baseline and Boost Demo nozzle motor aft nozzle contours